The Execution of the CLC: A Policy
London up to the beginning of May 1980. The debate on the detail of the Bill was the subject of detailed and often heated exchanges in both Houses of Parliament. The Bill was passed by the Commons on 13 May 1980 and received royal assent on 22 June 1980. The Bill was then referred to the House of Lords. The debate in the Lords was characterized by a high level of consensus on the principle of a new system of local government. The Bill was passed by the Lords on 10 July 1980 and received royal assent on 22 July 1980.

The implementation of the GLC’s policy decisions was a complex and challenging process. The GLC’s new role as a local government body required it to take on responsibilities previously performed by the borough councils. This included the provision of a number of services, such as housing, transport, and leisure. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner. The GLC’s new role also required it to work closely with the borough councils, which continued to exist under the GLC’s new banner.