

---

# Political Science 617

## Topics and Debates in International Relations

---

Professor Alex Weisiger  
Office: 215 Stiteler Hall  
Email: [weisiger@sas.upenn.edu](mailto:weisiger@sas.upenn.edu)

Monday 4-7  
Seminar Room: Meyerson Hall B6  
Office Hours: MW 2:30-3:30

This course is designed to introduce students to current topics and debates in the study of international relations, focusing primarily on international security. It thus is intended to complement PSCI 600, the IR field seminar, which is designed to introduce students to foundational works in international relations but which generally does not cover current debates in any depth. Although PSCI 600 is not a formal prerequisite for this course, reading assignments and discussions will generally assume that students are already familiar with that material; thus, students who have not taken 600 will be at a significant disadvantage.

### Course Requirements

Students are expected to come to class each week ready to discuss the assigned readings. In addition, for two weeks of the course you will be responsible for writing and circulating a short (2-4 page) memo that briefly outlines the key questions and arguments in the week, presents questions for discussion, and suggests ways in which outstanding questions might be answered (e.g. novel hypotheses for testing, potential new data sources, or ways to apply existing data in novel ways to distinguish among competing arguments).

Each week, one student will also be responsible for a short (no more than 10 minute) presentation on an existing dataset in international relations. See below for details.

The final assignment for the course is a substantial publishable-quality research paper. You are welcome to use this paper as an opportunity to explore a potential dissertation topic, or you may write a paper that you envision as a stand-alone journal article. Papers should be 25-35 pages in length, and should include both theoretical and empirical analysis. The empirical analysis may be either qualitative or quantitative, and you should feel free to consult with me to determine what sorts of analysis would be appropriate for your question. You will be required to submit a short memo on February 25 in which you discuss your proposed research question and potential hypotheses. You will need to submit a 2-4 page report on your progress on April 8. The final paper is due Monday, May 10 by 5pm.

Your grade for the course will be determined as follows: 50% final paper, 10% for each course

memo, 10% dataset presentations, and 20% general course participation.

## **A Note on Readings**

This syllabus is more loosely structured than most syllabi to allow for a certain degree of flexibility in the topics covered. On the first meeting, we will discuss which topics students are interested in covering. As part of this discussion, I will welcome suggestions of additional topics and questions, although I cannot guarantee that we will cover all topics of interest to students. I will circulate an updated syllabus on the second week of the course that has topics to be covered arranged in chronological order.

## **Dataset Presentations**

The goal of the dataset presentations is twofold: to introduce you to the advantages and disadvantages of the common datasets used in international relations, and to give you experience with academic presentation. The goal of these presentations is not for you to identify every possible comment with respect to the dataset but to give a general sense of the utility and quality of the data and of key issues that we should be aware of when considering using this data or when reading a study that has made use of the data. In line with this goal, you will be strictly limited to ten minutes for your presentation.

When you are preparing for your presentation, it may be useful to consider the following questions:

- How useful is this dataset conceptually (are the concepts that variables are intended to capture substantively important)?
- How transparent are the coding processes? Are the coding rules available? Do coders indicate what sources have been provided and provide explanations for specific coding decisions? How easy would it be to replicate the codings yourself?
- Do the coding procedures produce variables that reasonably capture the concepts they are intended to capture? If there is a gap between the concept and the variable, would it have been possible to do a better job?
- Are the scope conditions for the dataset (e.g. beginning and end years, countries or actors included, etc.) reasonable?
- How has the dataset been used in international relations? Are there opportunities to use it in new or innovative ways? If there are competing data projects, what are the strengths and weaknesses of this specific dataset?

The datasets to be presented are listed below; if you would prefer to present on a dataset not listed here, feel free to consult with me:

- Correlates of War (COW) dataset of interstate wars
- COW datasets of civil and extrasystemic wars
- COW dataset of militarized interstate disputes (MIDs)
- PRIO/Uppsala dataset of armed conflicts

- Fearon & Laitin dataset of civil wars
- Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism (Start) and National Counterterrorism Center data on terrorism (two separate datasets)
- COW dataset of national capabilities (CINC)
- Polity IV dataset (regime type)
- Archigos dataset (leaders)
- Alliance Treaty Obligations and Protocols (ATOP)
- Issues Correlates of War (ICOW) dataset (territorial disputes)
- War Initiation and Termination dataset (war formality and outcome) (to be presented by me)

## Course Materials

Because the syllabus for this course is not set in advance, I am not ordering books for this course; I would encourage you, however, to purchase (from Amazon or another source) those books that you think will likely be useful for your own research. All books that we will be discussing will also be available from Van Pelt Library reserve, and I am posting scanned copies of assigned chapters for at least some books. Below is a list of books from which multiple chapters are assigned in specific weeks:

- Dan Reiter and Allan C. Stam, *Democracies at War*.
- Robert Powell, *In the Shadow of Power: States and Strategies in International Politics*.
- Barbara F. Walter and Jack Snyder, eds., *Civil Wars, Insecurity, and Intervention*.
- Hein Goemans, *War and Punishment: War Termination and the First World War*.
- V. Page Fortna, *Does Peacekeeping Work? Shaping Belligerents' Choices after Civil War*.
- Michael Tomz, *Reputation and International Cooperation: Sovereign Debt across Three Centuries*.
- Jonathan Mercer, *Reputation and International Politics*.
- Robert Gilpin, *War and Change in International Politics*.
- Brian Downing, *The Military Revolution and Political Change*.
- Hendrik Spruyt, *The Sovereign State and Its Competitors: An Analysis of Systems Change*.
- Daniel H. Nexon, *The Struggle for Power in Early Modern Europe: Religious Conflict, Dynastic Empires & International Change*.
- Christopher Gelpi, Peter D. Feaver, and Jason Reifler, *Paying the Human Costs of War: American Public Opinion and Casualties in Military Conflicts*.

I trust that you are able to find and print out articles that are available from JSTOR. For more recent articles that are not covered given JSTOR's moving wall, I will post copies of the article on Blackboard. Readings marked with a star (\*) also appear on the syllabus for Political Science 600 and thus likely will be review for most students.

## Readings

### Reading List A: Topics We Will Discuss

#### (Why) Is Democracy Associated with Victory?

- David Lake, "Powerful Pacifists: Democratic States and War," *American Political Science Review*, 86:1 (1992), 24-37.
- Dan Reiter and Allan C. Stam, *Democracies at War*, ch. 1-3, 8.
- D. Scott Bennett and Alan Stam, "The Declining Advantages of Democracy: A Combined Model of War Outcomes and Duration," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 42:3 (1998), 344-366.
- Michael Desch, "Democracy and Victory: Why Regime Type Hardly Matters," *International Security* 27:2 (2002), 5-47, plus responses to Desch in 28:1.
- Alex Downes, "How Smart and Tough Are Democracies? Reassessing Theories of Democratic Victory in War," *International Security* 33:4 (2009), 9-51, plus correspondence in 34:2.
- Ajin Choi, "Democratic Synergy and Victory in War, 1816-1992," *International Studies Quarterly* 48:3 (2004), 663-682.

#### *Supplemental Readings: Additional Work on Victory in War*

- Stephen Biddle, *Military Power: Explaining Victory and Defeat in Modern Battle*, ch. 1-3, skim one of the case study chapters.
- Michael Horowitz and Stephen R. Rosen, "Evolution or Revolution," *Journal of Strategic Studies* 28:3 (2005), 437-448.
- Allan C. Stam, *Win, Lose, or Draw: Domestic Politics and the Crucible of War*, ch. 1, 2, 5.

#### Why Do (Interstate) Wars Happen?

- \*Blainey, *The Causes of War*, ch. 1-3.
- \*Waltz, "The Origins of War in Neorealist Theory," in Rotberg and Rabb, *The Origin and Prevention of Major Wars*, 39-52.
- \*Fearon, "Rationalist Explanations for War," *International Organization* 49:3 (1995), 379-414.
- Robert Powell, *In the Shadow of Power: States and Strategies in International Politics*, ch. 1, 3-4.
- Powell, Robert. 2006. "War as a Commitment Problem," *International Organization* 60(1):169-203.
- \*Douglas Lemke, *Regions of War and Peace*, ch. 2.
- Levy, Jack S. 1989. "The Diversionary Theory of War: A Critique," in *Handbook of War Studies*, ed. Manus I. Midlarsky. Boston: Unwin Hyman, pp. 259-288.

- \*Giacomo Chiozza and H. E. Goemans, "International Conflict and the Tenure of Leaders: Is War Still Ex Post Inefficient?" *American Journal of Political Science* 48:3 (2004), 604-619.
- \*Jack Snyder and Ed Mansfield, "Democratization and the Danger of War," *International Security* 20: (1995) 5-38.
- Robert Jervis, "War and Misperception," *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 18:4 (1988), 675-700.

## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Randall Schweller, *Deadly Imbalances*, Introduction and chapters 1-2.
- Dale Copeland, *The Origins of Major War*, Introduction, ch. 1-2, skim ch. 3-4.
- Dan Reiter, "Exploding the Powder Keg Myth: Preemptive Wars Almost Never Happen," *International Security* 20:2 (Autumn 1995), 5-34.
- Dominic D.P. Johnson, *Overconfidence and War: The Havoc and Glory of Positive Illusions*, ch. 1-2 and skim a case.
- Vipin Narang and Rebecca M. Nelson, "Who Are Those Belligerent Democratizers? Re-assessing the Impact of Democratization on War," *International Organization* 63:2 (2009), 357-379, plus response in same issue by Mansfield and Snyder.
- Mark L. Haas, "A Geriatric Peace? The Future of U.S. Power in a World of Aging Populations" *International Security* 32:1 (2007), 112-147.
- Mark Fey and Kristopher W. Ramsay, "Mutual Optimism and War," *American Journal of Political Science* 51:4 (2007), 738-754.

## Why Do (Civil) Wars Happen?

- Barry Posen, "The Security Dilemma and Ethnic Conflict," in Michael Brown, ed., *Ethnic Conflict and International Security*, 103-124.
- Jack Snyder and Robert Jervis, "Civil War and the Security Dilemma," in Barbara Walter and Jack Snyder, *Civil Wars, Insecurity, and Intervention*, pp. 15-37.
- Rui J.P. de Figueiredo and Barry Weingast, "The Rationality of Fear: Political Opportunism and Ethnic Conflict," in Barbara Walter and Jack Snyder, *Civil Wars, Insecurity, and Intervention*, pp. 261-302.
- James Fearon and David Laitin, "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," *American Political Science Review* 97:1 (2003), 75-90.
- Paul Collier and Anke Hoeffler, "Greed and Grievance in Civil Wars," *Oxford Economic Papers* 56 (2004), 563-595.
- Michael L. Ross, "How Do Natural Resources Influence Civil War? Evidence from Thirteen Cases," *International Organization* 58:1 (2004), 35-68.
- Nicholas Sambanis, "What Is Civil War? Conceptual and Empirical Complexities of an Operational Definition," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48:6 (2004), 814-858.
- Lars-Erik Cederman, Andreas Wimmer, and Brian Min, "Why Do Ethnic Groups Rebel? New Data and Analysis" *World Politics* 62:1 (2010), 87-119.

## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- James Fearon, "Commitment Problems and the Spread of Ethnic Conflict," in David Lake and Donald Rothchild, eds., *The International Spread of Ethnic Conflict*, pp. 107-126.
- Clayton Thyne, "Cheap Signals with Costly Consequences: The Effect of Interstate Relations on Civil War," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50:6 (December 2006), 937-961.
- Jeffrey Herbst, *States and Power in Africa*, esp. pp. 58-136.
- Nicholas Sambanis and Håvard Hegre, "Sensitivity Analysis of Empirical Results on Civil War Onset," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50:4 (2006), 508-535.
- Jack Snyder, *From Voting to Violence: Democratization and Nationalist Conflict*.
- Edward D. Mansfield and Jack Snyder, *Electing to Fight: Why Emerging Democracies Go to War*.

### When and Why Do Wars End?

- Donald Wittman, "How a War Ends: A Rational Model Approach," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 23:4 (1979), 743-763.
- \*Hein Goemans, *War and Punishment: War Termination and the First World War*, ch. 1-2, skim 3-4.
- Harrison Wagner, "Bargaining and War," *American Journal of Political Science* 44:3 (2000), 469-484.
- Robert Powell, "Bargaining and Learning While Fighting," *American Journal of Political Science* 48:2 (2004), 334-361.
- Alex Weisiger, *From Small Wars to Armageddon: Explaining Interstate War Duration and Severity*, book manuscript, ch. 2-3.
- Barbara Walter, "The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement," *International Organization* 51:3 (1997), 335-364.
- James D. Fearon, "Why Do Some Civil Wars Last So Much Longer than Others?" *Journal of Peace Research* 41:3 (2004), 275-301.
- Ann Hironaka, *Neverending Wars: The International Community, Weak States, and the Perpetuation of Civil War*, ch. 1.

### SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Fred Charles Ikle, *Every War Must End*.
- Branislav Slantchev, "The Principle of Convergence in Wartime Negotiations," *American Political Science Review* 97:4 (2003), 621-632.
- Branislav Slantchev, "How Initiators End Their Wars: The Duration of Warfare and the Terms of Peace," *American Journal of Political Science* 47:4 (2004), 813-829.
- Dan Reiter, *How Wars End*.
- Stephen Stedman, "Spoiler Problems in Peace Processes," *International Security* 22:2 (1997), 5-53.
- Andrew Kydd and Barbara F. Walter, "Sabotaging the Peace: The Politics of Extremist Violence," *International Organization* 56:2 (2002), 263-296.
- Kenneth Schultz, "The Politics of Risking Peace: Do Hawks or Doves Deliver the Olive Branch?" *International Organization* 59:1 (2005), 1-38.
- David E. Cunningham, Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, and Idean Salehyan, "It Takes Two: A Dyadic Analysis of Civil War Duration and Outcome," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:4 (2009), 570-597.

## How Can We Promote Peace during War?

- Andrew Kydd, "Which Side Are You On? Bias, Credibility and Mediation," *American Journal of Political Science* 47:4 (2003), 597-611.
- Mark Fey and Kristopher W. Ramsay, "Uncertainty and Incentives in Mediation," working paper.
- Scott Sigmund Gartner, and Jacob Bercovitch, "Overcoming Obstacles to Peace: The Contribution of Mediation to Short-Lived Conflict Settlements," *International Studies Quarterly* 50:4 (2006), 819-840.
- Isak Svensson, "Bargaining, Bias and Peace Brokers: How Rebels Commit to Peace," *Journal of Peace Research* 44:2 (2007), 177-194.
- Chaim Kaufmann, "Possible and Impossible Solutions to Ethnic Civil Wars," *International Security* 20:4 (1996), 136-175.
- Thomas Chapman and Philip G. Roeder, "Partition as a Solution to Wars of Nationalism: The Importance of Institutions," *American Political Science Review* 101:4 (2007), 677-691.
- Nicholas Sambanis, "What's in a Line? Is Partition a Solution to Civil War?" *International Security* 34:2 (2009), 82-119.

## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- I. William Zartman, "Ripeness: The Hurting Stalemate and Beyond," in Paul C. Stern and Daniel Druckman, eds., *International Conflict Resolution After the Cold War*, 2000.
- J. Michael Greig, "Moments of Opportunity: Recognizing Conditions of Ripeness for International Mediation between Enduring Rivals," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 45:6 (2001), 691-718.
- Robert Rauchhaus, "Asymmetric Information, Mediation, and Conflict Management," *World Politics* 58:1 (2006), 207-241.
- Andrew Kydd, "When Can Mediators Build Trust?" *American Political Science Review* 100:3 (2006), 449-462.
- Chaim Kaufmann, "When All Else Fails: Ethnic Population Transfers and Partitions in the Twentieth Century," *International Security* 23:2 (1998), 120-156.
- Nicholas Sambanis, "Partition as a Solution to Ethnic War: An Empirical Critique of the Theoretical Literature," *World Politics* 52:4 (2000), 437-483.
- Carter Johnson, "Partitioning to Peace: Sovereignty, Demography, and Ethnic Civil Wars," *International Security* 32:4 (2008), 140-170, plus responses in 33:4.
- Lawrence Freedman and Virginia Gamba-Stonehouse, *Signals of War: The Falklands Conflict of 1982*, part IV (pp. 165-243) (skim). (for mediation)
- Jaroslav Tir, "Dividing Countries to Promote Peace: Prospects for Long-Term Success of Partitions," *Journal of Peace Research* 42:5 (2005), 545-562.

## How Can We Promote Peace after War?

- Michael W. Doyle and Nicholas Sambanis, "International Peacebuilding: A Theoretical and Quantitative Analysis," *American Political Science Review* 94:4 (2000), 779-801.

- V. Page Fortna, *Does Peacekeeping Work? Shaping Belligerents' Choices after Civil War*, ch. 1, 4-6.
- Suzanne Werner and Amy Yuen, "Making and Keeping Peace," *International Organization* 59:2 (2005), 261-292.
- Edward N. Luttwack, "Give War a Chance," *Foreign Affairs* 78:4 (1999), 36-44.
- Caroline Hartzell and Matthew Hoddie, "Institutionalizing Peace: Power Sharing and Post-Civil War Conflict Management," *American Journal of Political Science* 47:2 (2003), 318-332.
- Philip G. Roeder and Donald Rothchild, eds, *Sustainable Peace: Power and Democracy after Civil Wars*, ch. 1.
- J. Michael Greig and Paul F. Diehl, "The Peacekeeping-Peacemaking Dilemma," *International Studies Quarterly* 49:4 (2005), 621-646.

## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- V. Page Fortna, "Scraps of Paper? Agreements and the Durability of Peace," *International Organization* 57:2 (2003), 337-72.
- Arend Lijphart, "The Power-Sharing Approach," in J. V. Montville, ed., *Conflict and Peacemaking in Multiethnic Societies*, 491-509.
- Nigel Lo, Barry Hashimoto, and Dan Reiter, "Ensuring Peace: Foreign-Imposed Regime Change and Postwar Peace Duration, 1914-2001," *International Organization* 62:4 (2008), 717-736.
- Monica Duffy Toft, *Peace through Victory: The Durable Settlement of Civil Wars*, forthcoming book.
- J. Michael Quinn, T. David Mason, and Mehmet Gurses, "Sustaining the Peace: Determinants of Civil War Recurrence," *International Interactions* 33:2 (2007), pp. 167-193.
- Alexander B. Downes, "The Problem with Negotiated Settlements to Ethnic Civil Wars," *Security Studies* 13:4 (2004), 230-279.
- Bumba Mukherjee, "Why Political Power-Sharing Agreements Lead to Enduring Peaceful Resolution of Some Civil Wars, But Not Others?" *International Studies Quarterly* 50:2, (2006), 479-504.

## Does Reputation Matter in International Politics?

- Thomas Schelling, *The Strategy of Conflict*, ch. 2. (Arms and Influence is also pertinent.)
- Barry Nalebuff, "Rational Deterrence in an Imperfect World," *World Politics*, 43:2 (1991), 313-335.
- Barbara Walter, 2006. "Building Reputation: Why Governments Fight Some Separatists but Not Others." *American Journal of Political Science* 50(2): 313-330.
- Michael Tomz, *Reputation and International Cooperation: Sovereign Debt across Three Centuries*, ch. 1-2.
- Jonathan Mercer, *Reputation and International Politics*, Introduction, ch. 1-2.
- Daryl Press, "The Credibility of Power: Assessing Threats during the 'Appeasement' Crises of the 1930s," *International Security*, 29:3 (2004/05), 136-169.
- Andrew Weston and Katherine Yeats, "Reexamining Reputation," working paper.



## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Anne Sartori, “The Might of the Pen; A Reputational Theory of Communication in International Disputes.” *International Organization* 56:1 (Winter 2002) 123-151. (also assigned for week on credible communication)
- Daryl Press, *Calculating Credibility: How Leaders Assess Military Threats*.

### **When Do International Institutions Actually Change Behavior?**

- Abram Chayes and Antonia Handler Chayes, “On Compliance,” *International Organization* 47:2 (1993), 175-205.
- George W. Downs, David M. Rocke, and Peter N. Barsoom, “Is the Good News about Compliance Good News about Cooperation?” *International Organizations* 50:3 (1996), 379-406.
- \*John Mearsheimer, “The False Promise of International Institutions,” *International Security* 19:3 (1994/1995), 5-49, plus response by Keohane and Martin and counter-reply by Mearsheimer in the Summer 1995 issue of *IS*.
- Beth Simmons, “International Law and State Behavior: Commitment and Compliance in International Monetary Affairs,” *American Political Science Review* 94:4 (2000), 819-35.
- Jana von Stein, “Do Treaties Constrain or Screen? Selection Bias and Treaty Compliance.” *American Political Science Review* 99:4 (2005) 611-622, plus response by Daniel Hopkins and Beth Simmons in the same issue. (This gets quite technical—focus on the substantive argument.)
- \*Emilie M. Hafner-Burton, “Trading Human Rights: How Preferential Trade Agreements Influence Government Repression.” *International Organization* 59:3 (2005), 593-629.
- Eric Neumayer, “Do International Human Rights Treaties Improve Respect for Human Rights?” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 49:6 (2005), 925-953.
- Jeffrey T. Checkel, “Why Comply? Social Learning and European Identity Change,” *International Organization* 55:3 (2003), 553-588.
- Brett Ashley Leeds, Michaela Mattes, and Jeremy S. Vogel, “Interests, Institutions, and the Reliability of International Commitments,” *American Journal of Political Science* 53:2 (2009), 461-476.

## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Beth Simmons, “Compliance with International Agreements,” *The Annual Review of Political Science* 1 (1998), 75-93.
- Mancur Olson and Richard Zeckhauser, “An Economic Theory of Alliances,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 48:3 (1966), 266-279.
- Brett Ashley Leeds, “Alliance Reliability in Times of War: Explaining State Decisions to Violate Treaties,” *International Organization* 57:4 (2003), 801-827.
- Patricia A. Weitsman, *Dangerous Alliances: Proponents of Peace, Weapons of War*, Ch. 1-2.
- Oona Hathaway, “Do Human Rights Treaties Make a Difference?,” *Yale Law Journal* 111 (2002), 1935-2042.

- David H. Bearce, Kristen M. Flanagan, and Katharine M. Floros, “Alliances, Internal Information, and Military Conflict Among Member-States,” *International Organization* 60:3 (2006), 595-625.
- Judith Kelley, “Who Keeps International Commitments and Why? The International Criminal Court and Bilateral Nonsurrender Agreements,” *American Political Science Review* 101:3 (2007), 573-589.
- Sara McLaughlin Mitchell and Paul Hensel, “International Institutions and Compliance with Agreements,” *American Journal of Political Science* 51:4 (2007), 721-737 .
- Erik A. Gartzke and Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, “Why Democracies May Actually Be Less Reliable Allies,” *American Journal of Political Science* 48:4 (2004), 775-795

### How Can Actors Communicate Credibly?

- \*James D. Fearon, “Domestic Political Audiences and the Escalation of International Disputes,” *American Political Science Review* 88:3 (1994), 577-592.
- Robert Jervis, “Signaling and Perception: Drawing Inferences and Projecting Images,” *Political Psychology*, ed., Kristen Monroe (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2002), 293-314.
- Shuhei Kurizaki, “Efficient Secrecy: Public Versus Private Threats in Crisis Diplomacy,” *American Political Science Review* 101:3 (2007), 543-558.
- Andrew Kydd, “Trust, Reassurance, and Cooperation” *International Organization* 54:2 (2000), 325-57.
- Anne Sartori, “The Might of the Pen: A Reputational Theory of Communication in International Disputes,” *International Organization*, 56:1 (2002), 121-149.
- Kenneth Schultz, “Do Democratic Institutions Constrain or Inform? Contrasting Two Institutional Perspectives on Democracy and War.” *International Organization* 53:2 (1999), 233.
- Kenneth Schultz, “Looking for Audience Costs.” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 45:1 (2001), 32-60.
- \*Michael Tomz, “Domestic Audience Costs in International Relations: An Experimental Approach,” *International Organization* 61:4 (2007), 821-840.
- Weeks, Jessica L., “Autocratic Audience Costs: Regime Type and Signaling Resolve,” *International Organization* 62:1 (2008), 35-64.

### SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Matthew A. Baum, “Going Private,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48:5 (2004), 603-631.
- Kydd, A. (2005). *Trust and Mistrust in International Relations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Leventoglu, Bahar and Ahmer Tarar. 2005. *Pre-negotiation Public Commitment in Domestic and International Bargaining*. *American Political Science Review* 99(3): 419-433.
- Morrow, James D. “Signaling Difficulties with Linkage in Crisis Bargaining.” *International Studies Quarterly* 36, 2 (1992): 153.
- Sartori, Anne. (2005). *Deterrence by Diplomacy*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

- Schultz, Kenneth. *Democracy and Coercive Diplomacy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- Slantchev, Branislav L. 2006. Politicians, the Media, and Domestic Audience Costs. *International Studies Quarterly* 50(2): 445-77.
- Yarhi-Milo, Keren, "Tying Hands behind Closed Doors: The Logic and Practice of Secret Reassurance," unpublished manuscript, Princeton University.

### **What Are the Determinants of Civilian Victimization in War?**

- Benjamin Valentino, Paul Huth, and Dylan Balch-Lindsay, "'Draining the Sea': Mass Killing and Guerrilla Warfare," *International Organization* 58:2 (2004), 375-407.
- Stathys Kalyvas, "The Paradox of Terrorism in Civil War," *Journal of Ethics* 8:1 (2004), 97-138.
- Alexander Downes, "Desperate Times, Desperate Measures: The Causes of Civilian Victimization in War," *International Security* 30:4 (2006), 152-195.
- \*Macartan Humphreys and Jeremy M. Weinstein, "Handling and Manhandling Civilians in Civil War," *American Political Science Review* 100:3 (2006), 429-447.
- James Morrow, "When Do States Follow the Laws of War," *American Political Science Review* 101:3 (2007), 559-572.
- \*Jason Lyall, "Does Indiscriminate Violence Incite Insurgent Attacks: Evidence from Chechnya," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:3 (2009), 331-362.
- Jessica Stanton, "Strategies of Restraint in Civil War," working paper.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS**

- Alexander Downes, "Draining the Sea by Filling the Graves: Investigating the Effectiveness of Indiscriminate Violence as a Counterinsurgency Strategy," *Civil Wars* 9:4 (2007), 420-444.
- Benjamin Valentino, *Final Solutions: Mass Killing and Genocide in the 20th Century*.
- Jeremy M. Weinstein, *Inside Rebellion: The Politics of Insurgent Violence*.
- Stathys Kalyvas, *The Logic of Violence in Civil War*.
- Nina Tannenwald, "Stigmatizing the Bomb: Origins of the Nuclear Taboo," *International Security* 29:4 (2005), 5-49.

### **Why Does Conflict Cluster in Certain Regions or Certain Dyads?**

- Goertz, Gary and Paul F. Diehl. 2000. "(Enduring) Rivalries," in Manus Midlarsky, ed., *Handbook of War Studies*. 2nd edition.
- Diehl, Paul F. and Gary Goertz. 2000. *War and Peace in International Rivalry*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press, those chapters that seem interesting.
- Erik Gartzke and Michael Simon, "Hot Hand: A Critical Analysis of Enduring Rivalries," *Journal of Politics* 61:3 (1999), 777-798.
- Benjamin Miller, *States, Nations, and the Great Powers: The Sources of Regional War and Peace*, ch. TBA.
- Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, "Transnational Dimensions of Civil War," *Journal of Peace Research* 44:3 (2007), 293-309.

- Halvard Buhaug and Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, “Contagion or Confusion? Why Conflicts Cluster in Space,” *International Studies Quarterly* 52:2 (2008), 215-233.
- Lars-Erik Cederman and Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, “Introduction to Special Issue on ‘Disaggregating Civil Wars’”, *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:4 (2009), 487-495.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Paul F. Diehl, ed., *The Dynamics of Enduring Rivalries*.
- Douglas Lemke, *Regions of War and Peace*.
- Anne Sartori, “Leadership Incentives, International Rivalry, and War,” unpublished paper on enduring rivalry, available from her website:  
<http://faculty.wcas.northwestern.edu/~aes797/SartoriAPSA06.pdf>.
- Benjamin Miller, “Between War and Peace: Systemic Effects on the Transition of the Middle East and the Balkans from the Cold War to the Post-Cold War Era,” *Security Studies* 10: (2002), 1-48.

#### **What Do We Know about Terrorism? (poorly formed question, for a good reason)**

- Robert Pape, “The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism,” *American Political Science Review* 97:3 (2003), 343-361.
- Sara Jackson Wade and Dan Reiter, “Does Democracy Matter? Regime Type and Suicide Terrorism,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51:2 (2007), 329-348.
- Andrew F. Kydd and Barbara Walter, “The Strategies of Terrorism,” *International Security* 31:1 (2006), 49-80.
- Michael Horowitz, “Non-State Actors and the Diffusion of Innovations: The Case of Suicide Terrorism,” *International Organization*, forthcoming winter 2010.
- Robert Powell, “Defending against Terrorist Attacks with Limited Resources,” *American Political Science Review* 101:3 (2007), 527-541.
- James Piazza, “A Supply-Side View of Suicide Terrorism: A Cross-National Study,” *Journal of Politics* 70:1 (2008), 28-39.
- Victor Asal and R. Karl Rethemeyer, “The Nature of the Beast: Terrorist Organizational Characteristics and Organizational Lethality.” *Journal of Politics* 70:2 (2008), 437-449.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Scott Ashworth, Joshua D. Clinton, Adam Meirowitz, and Kristopher W. Ramsay, “Design, Inference, and the Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism: A Rejoinder,” *American Political Science Review* 102:2 (2008), 269-273 and response by Pape.
- Ethan Bueno de Mesquita and Eric Dickson, “The Propaganda of the Deed: Terrorism, Counterterrorism, and Mobilization,” *American Journal of Political Science* 51:22 (2007), 364-381.
- Max Abrahms, “Why Terrorism Does Not Work,” *International Security*, 31:2 (2006), 42-78.

#### **What Are the Determinants of Nuclear Proliferation?**

- Jacques E. Hymans, *The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions, and Foreign Policy*, ch. 1-2.
- Erik Gartzke and Dong-Joon Jo, “Determinants of Nuclear Weapons Proliferation,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51:1 (2007), 167-194.
- Matthew Kroenig, “Exporting the Bomb: Why States Provide Sensitive Nuclear Assistance,” *American Political Science Review* 103:1 (2009), 113-133.
- Matthew Kroenig, “Importing the Bomb: Sensitive Nuclear Assistance and Nuclear Proliferation,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:2 (2009), 161-180.
- Matthew Fuhrmann, “Spreading Temptation: Proliferation and Peaceful Nuclear Cooperation Agreements,” *International Security* 34:1 (2008), 7-41.

## SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS

- Richard K. Betts, “Incentives for Nuclear Weapons: India, Pakistan, Iran,” *Asian Survey* 19:11 (1979), 1053-1072.
- Matthew Fuhrmann, “Taking a Walk on the Supply Side: The Determinants of Civilian Nuclear Cooperation,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:2 (2009), 181-208.

## Does the Balance of Power Still Matter in International Politics?

- Robert A. Pape, “Soft Balancing against the United States,” *International Security* 30:1 (2005), 7-45.
- Stephen G. Brooks and William Wohlforth, “Hard Times for Soft Balancing,” *International Security* 30:1 (2005), 72-108.
- Richard Little, *The Balance of Power in International Relations*.

## How Is War Changing?

- John Mueller, *The Remnants of War*, Introduction, ch. 2-3, 9.
- Stephen Brooks, “The Globalization of Production and the Changing Benefits of Conquest,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 43:5 (1999), 646-670.
- Peter Liberman, *Does Conquest Pay? The Exploitation of Occupied Industrial Societies*, ch. 1-2.
- Bruce Russett, Bethany Lacina, and Nils-Petter Gleditsch, “The Declining Risk of Death in Battle,” *International Studies Quarterly* 50:3 (2006), 673-680.
- Ziad Obermeyer, Christopher J.L. Murray, and Emmanuela Gakidou, “Fifty Years of Violent War Deaths from Vietnam to Bosnia: Analysis of Data from the World Health Survey Programme,” *BMJ (British Medical Journal)* 336 (2008), 1482-1486.
- Michael Spagat, Andrew Mack, Tara Cooper, and Joakim Kreutz, “Estimating War Deaths: An Arena of Contestation,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:6 (2009), 934-950.

## How Did the Modern State System Emerge?

- Charles Tilly, “Reflection on the History of European State Making,” in Charles Tilly, ed., *The Formation of National States in Western Europe*, pp. 3-83.
- Robert Gilpin, *War and Change in International Politics*, Introduction and ch. 1.

- Brian Downing, *The Military Revolution and Political Change*, ch. 1-3.
- Hendrik Spruyt, *The Sovereign State and Its Competitors: An Analysis of Systems Change*, Introduction and ch. 1-3.
- Daniel H. Nexon, *The Struggle for Power in Early Modern Europe: Religious Conflict, Dynastic Empires & International Change*, ch. TBD.

### **What Is the Relationship between Public Opinion and War?**

- Scott Sigmund Gartner, “The Multiple Effects of Casualties on Public Support for War: An Experimental Approach,” *American Political Science Review* 101:2 (2008), 95-106.
- Christopher Gelpi, Peter D. Feaver, and Jason Reifler, *Paying the Human Costs of War: American Public Opinion and Casualties in Military Conflicts*, ch. 1-2.
- Terrence Chapman and Dan Reiter, “The United Nations Security Council and the Rally ‘Round the Flag Effect,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48:6 (2004), 886-909.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL READINGS**

- John Mueller, “Presidential Popularity from Truman to Johnson,” *American Political Science Review* 64:1 (1980), 18-34.